



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,992	06/21/2005	Yvonne Auberlet de Chelle	0608-1024	9707
<div>466 7590 06/11/2010</div> <div>YOUNG & THOMPSON 209 Madison Street Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314</div>				
<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>MITCHELL, JASON D</div>				
<div>ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER</div> <div>2193</div>				
<div>NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>06/11/2010 ELECTRONIC</div>				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/509,992	CHELLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason D. Mitchell	2193	

All Participants:

(1) Jason D. Mitchell.

(2) Robert Goozner (reg. no. 42,593).

Date of Interview: 28 May 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112 2nd rejection of claims 1-9

Claims discussed:

1-9

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

//Jason D. Mitchell/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
The purpose of the interview was to better understand the intended meaning of the claims (particularly claim 1). It was determined that the claims were generally directed to a visual development environment where a user defines a process in terms of a tree structure. However, the applicants' representative indicated that the meaning and interaction of the particular claim terms would require input from his client. As of 6/10/10 this input had not been received..